									Delive	
	**************************************	(0	A						Parto.	
	Sunday U				•••	***	•••	• • • •	2.	
	Finling,				***	•••	***		15.	
1	Major Tim					***	***		19.	
	Mojor lum						***		20.	
	or Orac	nfield's	speech	in miti	gation.	* * *	***	***	21.	
	•									
				\$100 and and \$100 km	a more and good gave been a	on the sale and the sale				
		1								
			A							
			1							
				1						
			0	1						
			* 1		4				224	
						9				
					(9				
					(9				
					<	6	1/6			
					<	9	6			
					(9	5			
					(9	5			
						5	5	9,		
							5			
							5			
							5			
							5			
							S			
							5			
							5			
							5			

PROCEEDINGS

of a

LILITARY COURT FOR THE TRIL OF WER CRIENLS

hold at

FIFTY-THIRD DAY,

Transcript of the Official. Shorthand Notes.

At 0930 hours the Court reassonbles persuant to adjournment, The same President, Members and Judge Edvocate being present.

The accused are again brought before the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: The learned Judge Advocate will now continue his surning up.

SULTING UP. (Continued)

THE JUDGE ADVOCATA: I now turn to the accuse the accuse was bern on the 15th December 1924 in Poland. He was approached arrested by the Germans on the 25th October 1941, by the Gestape, because he would not work in a factory. Eventually he went to Sachsenhausen and worked in certain kermandes, which I need not go into. Then he says that all the Mick, including himself, were sent to Bergen-Belsen, where he said he arrived them the 22nd and 25th March 1945. He says he was put in block No.12, and the blockaltester was a French Jow. He stayed in this block, he says, that I the Daitish came.

up and said that he rust assist the stubendoinst, especially floor. He says that he was not given any armlet. He says that the people stomed inside the block and the stubendeinst would serve too. To them, and that he himself handed plates of soup to the prisoners and brought containers to the block. He says that after the British entered the came he still continued to work with the stubendoinst and did not leave Balson intil the 30th heril or the 1st lay.

Eventually, yeu onember, he was arrested when walking in the man linkus, who is one of the people who said to him: "Do you remember the camp? I helping I did not starve as a result of Hangver when he was stopped taking allegations against hi You refused to give me a second it, and now I am going to take I think he said he was arrested 11 on the 4th July 1945 by the German

nat he says happened to him in the clime a small room where there was the he was take to kneel down. He tells you a detailed sto prison in Honovor. He says that he was a French officer and a French interpret that he was boaten and - to cut a long stor out of him a statement in the nature of a c ich, which was quite untruc.

Now, Gentlemen, that incident has receive consideration already, and it is an extraordinary incident indeel. t he made this detailed confession, which is so similar to the allega ale arainst him, because they were one and the same thing, namely, the futly On the other hard, it is quite open to you to take the view, if you to do it right, that On the other it would be quite extraorlinary for a unn willingly and veluct out such a precise indictment against himself.

The case for the defence on that is that you should trea statement as being entirely worthless because it was obtained by is a natter for you and no doubt will receive your consideration. event, the accused says the statement was untrue. You have the original statement before you and you can examine the ferm of it.

The first witness for the prosecution wasSempolinski. Sompolinsk says the accuse lived with him in No. 12 block. He said that he had no functions, that they were so exhausted as a whole that he and the accused brought food back to the others, and that they had to fight their way back to keep the food from being taken on the way.

Then we have the affidavit or statement of Pinkus, who is the man I have already referred to, who was a Pole from Walsall. He says: "On my arrival at Bolsen I met the accused, a Pole, who was an overseer in block No. 12. He received me with blows from a stick and an iron bar. I remember the 12th April 1945. At 7.30 a painter, Grunsweig, a Pole from Wilna, was too weak to work. The accused beat him and he collapsed and lied. I had some tooth knocked out myself by the iron bar. On the 15th iril 1945 at 8 a.m. a Russian failed to report f r work. He brushed against the accused, who hit him, The Russian hit him back. The Russian was then attacked and he was killed by the accused. On Aril 10th 1945 some het some was being distributed. The accused demanded from Lajward five Russian roubles. He asked for more and the accused then beat him.

The accused also got a diamond on which he got the diamond he did not give him the source.

Now, Sir, there are, for what they are worth, statements by three lines, all of which are in the form that they confirm what linkus has said.

The answer to this allegation or allegations is that the accused sa "land beat coole myself. The prisoners at the time were like wild a food was not being distributed fairly they would have billed that selections food was wrongly taken as a move came and tried to take the coole myself. The answer to this allegation or allegations is that the accuse said: at copie was in the prisoners at the time were like wild . I food was not being listributed fairly they would have tilled coris." He explained that selections food was wrongly taken and that the scould who were coming in the new conveys came and tried to take food from the sink people in Belson. He says in block No. 12 there sust have been at least 1000 people, that he never was a blockaltester and he did not receive newcours into the block. He says he never used a bar or a stick and that the whole of the first allegation of Pinkus' is quite untrue. In regard to the second one he says he remembers Grunsweig and says that the insident is quite untrue. He said he was stubendeinst, but he had no duty to force people to work. He says that Grunsweig helped to bring food from the cookhouse so there was no head to beat him.

about two gypsies bringing along a furthen, complaining that he had tried to get and eat a heart out of a human bedy. He says he was given two or three strokes by the stubendeinst and that his opsies did not think this was says he went away.

In regard to the further allegation, he says that Finkus asked for two portions of soup. He says: "I told him he was outitled to only one, as this is the reason why he has made all these allegations and got the others join him in doing so " join him in doing so." He says that during his money for soun is and all the says that during his money for soun is and money for sound is an analysis of the sound is a entitled to only one, and alions and got the others to in concentration camps he has never seen any gold. He says: "What about this obtaining

The next witness was Lichael Bialkiewicz, a young mon of only 17 years of age who comes from Hanover. . He says: "I know the accused at Belsen. I was ten days in block No. 12, where he was an orderly." this extraordinary assertion: "He illed hundreds of people, valuables from prisoners and if he did not get them he beat th by co rade Bauer had a gold tooth. The accused threatened to he did not give it up", and then he says that Sarna and Langel, were also his victims.

The accused's answer to that is: "I never did this at all. too young to kill. It was only people who were green triangles that kills in the camp. I never took any gold teeth" and he says that Sarna and Many who were night guards in block No. 12, are now in Sweden.

Then there was helamed Chaim, who says: "I saw the accused beat a Russian to death in five minutes on the day the English came. The Russian was a strong healthy man." You have seen the accused, and some point was made of how could a small man like that kill or beat a Russian, who was strong and healthy, to death. The accused says: "I am a very small Cen. I could not kill a strong Russian in five Limites."

Then you have an affidavit by Antoni Aurdzieg himself. I have already dealt with it. It is really not an affidavit, it is a statement which you have got as an exhibit, No. 117. In that statement he gives you a story which completely corroborates in all material matters the story of the allegations against him.

Schlomoivies says: "I know the accused, but only by his first name, intoni. On the 9th April, in the first days in Belson, I not him in block altestor.
heard of any Russia.

Essen. He says that he can dony the
it is untrue. He also says that he has se
food distribution but not with any weapon.

Polanski says: "I think this man
Thave nover mown of him demanding any mo
the goods called some witnesses
who was born on the 26th

unth his history
is serv I believe he was always in block No. 12. He was a block orderly. I think he fotched food and distributed it until the day I became block altestor. After that I distributed the food myself. I never saw or heard of any Russian being billed by the accused." He is quite sure he was not a blockaltester in block No. 12 during the seven days he was in He says that he can dony the evidence of Bialkiewicz because it is untrue. He also says that he has seen the accused beating people on

Polanski says: "I think this an distributed food very fairly and move of him demending any money for soup."

cused called some witnesses in his defence. The first one this, who was born on the 26th September 1923 in Lodz in Poland. to go through his history, but unfortunately he had to prosent time he is serving a sentence of lifteen years agailt on a woman. That is a ratter which you can take imprison on for assault on a woman. That is a atter which you can tinto account in considering his ero ibility. He says that he wont to Bolsen en the Ban Apall and that he wont to bloom to the Ban Apall and that he wont to bloom to bloom the Ban Apall and that he wont to bloom to bloom the Ban Apall and that he wont to bloom to bloom the Ban Apall and that he wont to bloom to bloom the Ban Apall and that he wont to bloom the Ban Apall and that he wont to bloom the bloom to be a second to be a sec admit that Bolsen on the Bri April and that he went to block No. 12. The blockaltester was a friend, but he were sick with typhus and idem was acting as blockaltester. Two days before the Eritish arrived a young German, whose made he does not know, was appointed blook litestor. He says he met the accuse first in block No.12 at Belsen on the distribution of soup and that he noticed him bout two days after he arrived. He says Adam poured out the soup into the containers and passed them He says Adam poured out the soup into to the accused, who handed it out to the orisoners who were sitting arrived, at about lunch time, Adout the block who was beaten up, and to gypsies brought a Russian to cot he says that the accused had nothing to do with this at all -rumphly, is the incident that accesed was at the other and has already been referred to -- becaus of the block sweeping the floor. clsen he never saw the accused did beat prisoners who were fit and violars who were unfit. but he od. He says that the led to take food from He loft Bulson, he says, with a friend, and then having met th they all went to that you should try and find that these people were expected in this justice. That is a make a prosecution in this kind of practice and that they were running away before they were evertaken by or not. He claims he was ill all the time in block No. 12 ar only beating he saw was when food was distributed.

He was born on the 24th The next accused is Relanski. in Mashine in Poland. He says that at first he had no occupation he was obilised into the Polish army in 1939, that he fought agains Germans, ecoming a corporal in the artillery, that he was captured Germans and sent to a factory, from which he says he escaped and return to Foland. He was rearrested because he had been working for the underground movement. He escaped, but his whole family was arrested and hostages were taken and he was told that if he did not report all the hostages would be killed, so he did report. Then he says his father, his brother and himself were sent to Auschwitz. He then tells you of what he did in the various octos.

Then we come to the material date in this case when he marched to Bolson, arriving about 11 o'clock at night on the 7th or 8th April 1945. No says he was in block No. 12 for two days, and then he went away because

he could not got anything to cat. He apparently just left the block of his own accord and went and joined his friend in block No.16. He says that the inmates were digging graves and food was distributed before the block three times a day. In block No.16 there were morning appels when going to work. These were the only parades. He says that he teck no part in helping to get people on to parades. He says that he teck no part in helping to get people on to parades. He says he did not help in the food distribution either. He says that the geople in block No.16 were engaged in digging graves, and when they were ready they all had to drag corposes to the graves. He says that he does not speak German. He is the man who says that when the came was liberated he reported to the Polish committee and says that they exployed him as a medical orderly in Belson. He continued with this duty until Belson camp was evacuated to Bergen. He says he was arrested by the British on the joth June 1945 in camp No.3, kitchen No.6. About 9 piclock at night a man shouted: The first man coused him of Winz a blockaltester, and he was then detained. This man coused him of Winz a blockaltester, and he says that he was Engel Sander, an interpreter.

He called some witnesses in his defence. There were three. The first was Kalewski, a Pole, who was arrested by the Germans in 1940. He was in vertical manades and eventually came to Hancver. He came to Belson from hay yet a arrived on the night of 8th/9th April. He said he had known to easily before in Auschwitz and was with him in most of those He did not go into block No.12 but into block No.13.

He called some witnesses in his defence. There were three. The first was Kralowski, a Pole, who was arrested by the Germans in 1940. He was in various to mandos and eventually came to Manover. He came to Belson from Marver and arrived on the night of 8th/9th April. He said he had known to analy before in Ausehwitz and was with him in most of those consentration ways. He did not go into block No.12 but into block No.13. He correborated Nort the accused was in block No.12 first and then in block No.16. We thinks belanski was in block No.12 for two or three days, perhaps four and says he remained in block No.16 until the liberation. He says "The accused did not do anything, but he used to come to see me in my block" He remembers the corpses being dragged to the pits, and he says moderal Polanski. He says this started about the 12th, 13th or 14th april 1945. He says: "I do not know what Polanski was doing after the liberation, but I left the camp. There was a shortage of food in all the blocks and Egot very little food inleed. Block No.16 was a cleaner block than block No.2." He says that anyone could move in the confusion from one block to satther. He said that prisoners working on dragging corpses were not beaten by the accused.

Then there was a witness, a student from Dolant, called Rakee W.

He was arrested by the Germans in 1939. The seems to have been in the same kind of concentration camps as the accused. He says his experience of the accused was that he behaved very well. He went to block No. 13 and he says that the accused went to block No. 12. He says that he used to visit him very frequently. He also says that the accuse was a few days in block No. 12 and then went to block No. 16. He says that the accused held no functions in the camp at all as far as he know. He says it took part in dragging the corpses and the accused was doing the same thing. He says it was dark when they started to carry the corpses in the accusing, and he says that they were beaten as the came out of the block.

He says in regard to the blockaltesters that they kept their position because they mirdered people, that they had a better time that other people and had more food. He says that the corpses were draged by shifts, and that it went on day and night. He says he was on the mint shift and that he saw Polanski on this work three times.

Then there was another witness who care in for some criticism, deserved or otherwise it is for you to say. That was the man Tatarrank, who was apparently a licutement in the Polish army. He seems to have been a Tolish officer in the artillery. He was captured and, without going through his earlier history, he seems to have been transferred from Walsall to Auschwitz. He knew the accused Polanski. He first not him in Hanover. He says he got to know him very well because they were in the same block. He says he was a lecent can, a good friend and well controlled, and that he used to try and help people by getting extra food from the blockaltesters, because I think he said the accused was a barber. He came to Balson on

7th April 1945, and he said that he saw the accused frequently. The accused was in block No. 12 and he, the witness, was in block No. 13. After a short time the accused went to block No. 16, and he was transferred to block No. 1, but he still used to see him in the earp. He says that after the British came a Polish committee was formed and that he was in the medical section any
quite a marrow remained on in particular the truth or whether the truth or whether the polarski tells you.

The evidence off...

The evidence off...

The evidence of mine.

The polarski It is evidence of mine. of that committee, and that he took part in enquiries into the alleged crimes which have been committed in Belsen. He says that he never heard of any allogation cade against Polanski, and that Polanski remained in the camp quite a while and that no allegations were brought against him. It says he remained on in Belsen after the liberation and is still there today. is for you to form your own views of those witnesses as to whother they were telling the truth or whether they do or do not correburate the story which

The evidence off.cred against this accused is, first of all, that of aski. It is evidence of good character, He says: "This man was a at of mine. He arrived in Belson seven days before the Hanover. He had no functions at all. He was in block with me." He says that he never saw Folanski with a rubber ting anyone.

ecusation that I will deal with is that of Jozef Doutcho, who is a Czeck Joy. He says: "On the 9th July 1945 accompanied by San Stanley I identified clanski in the cells. He was an assistant block leader in block No. 12, days No. 1, at Belsen. I lived in that block. I was an arrying besies with my father for two or three lays before the complete on carrying besies with my father for two or three lays before the I believe he died of this heating as I could not trace him. I have not have wounds on the top of the accused gave no target and had to ge to hespital. persons in our working party." ty right log. The accused al

not an assistant blockaltester To this the accused replie of block No. 12." He says the story auso Juring his stay in the calm he never beat anyone.

Next comes an accusation by Pavel Margar, A Rumanian Jow. He says: "I identified Polanski in the cells on the 444 July 1945 with Sjt. Stanley. The accused was an assistant block leader in block to 12, camp No.1, at Balson. I lived in this block. In the early marks on the 8th April 1015 we had to cet up early to bury the dead. As a pass I the accused and the cet up early to bury the dead. another beat us with a leather belt and many men fell fown. The accused burying the dead the wooden slub. On the dead the wooden slub. the accused and another beat us with a leather belt and many non fell fown. The accused frequently beat prisoners with a wooden club. On the 15th A ril 1945 when burying the dead the work was going slewly because of the weakness of the him with a wooden club. He sat down and said he was too ill woak, and boat nmil later I saw his dead body. I was ordered to drag his body s it into the large excavation with the other bodies."

Polonski says: "I was not an assistant blockeltester in block and I would not receive any function even if it was offered to me. is quite untrue. I was helping those who did not want to work in the and nover chased anybody." He also says the story about Jacobovitch quite untrue.

Then there is the allegation of Engel Sander, who is a Czoch Jew. He was the can who was instrumental in having the secused arrested. He says: "On the 1st July 1945 I noticed a lan in kitchen No. 6, carp No. 3, at Belson whom I recognised as the accused, an assistant block leader of my block in Bolson. The accused trio to get away but we caught him. In block No. 12, on p No. 1, Bolson, in early April 1945 at 3 a.m. the accused with others beat us in the block. I was beaten by the accused with a rubber truncheon on the head. I fell down and the accused kicked no with his heavy boots. The next day when carrying corpses we devered our hands with cloth. The

accused took the cloth, which had already been in contact with the bodies, and stuffe. it in my neuth. In the early morning of the 15th April 1945 he dreve us to carry corpses. In my party was a weak Pole, Jacobovitch, and 40, a cobbler. The accused shouter at him to get en and beat him with a club. Jacobovitch fell fown and cried out: 'I can't carry on any longor.' The accused continued to beat him and Jacobovitch fied. We dragged his body away to a large pit."

British. I caused no one to work
in early April." He says that this incident and untrue, and he says he has already dealt with the allegation.

Jacobovitch when it was made by the man Burger.

Schlemwicz was asked a few questions and he said: "I know Polanski.

The him for the first time on the 16th April 1945. I remember socing him after 1 became blockaltester, but not before. I do not know Josef Deutsche, but Polans Abould not have beaten anyone as he says when I was blockaltester in Ma. 12. An extraorates that the accused could not speak German. He will not a Pole and said he had been appointed by the Polish conditional head he denies that this man was err, a blockaltester. th a Pole and said he had been appointed by the Polish comittee Polos, and he denies that this man was ever a blockaltester.

has perhaps with the course to deal with is the weman Helena Lorder, who another than any of the accused in the dock. She was born on the total ohilling the accused in the dock. She was born on the 12th
She says she is a married woman and has two
that she had no occuration. She was arrested by the in the calldren. She sail that Germans on the 12th of literature. She to do 1910 because she was in possession of and contained Als her history, and in her case perhaps I sight to the M. She was, first of all, in prison in the court by the literature. She to do all coal with it in some little a Costago and the remaining 29, of which are was one, went to Revensions was one, went to Revensiruek. rumained in Ravonsbruck until the Nor 1942. She says that she ap. The then went on a transport was badly bureated in that coment to Auschwitz on the 23rd. Cotolor 1 she was there until the 20th g for two weeks and then was December 1944. She was copleyed at a number tattepodicui y sont to the unishment kerrande. er at machinits which I had at Ravenso

all as an accused it must Thatever you think of Kopper as a with ne of it, because De accepte by most people that she had a prot n. She explained she was in the punish ant kommande for a very long she was in the sunishment kenmande for a very tengorshe was in it up to the time she went to Bergen-Bellien. tinded and claimed that you had cortain rights you count got them. That carefully consider that carefully consider whether Kopper really did take up this attit to do it just as an ordinary internoe in the camp. She says, was not too badly treated because I knew what I could do. "

She went from Auschwitz in a transport to Bergen-Belsen, and will appreciate that she gives you a very full picture of Belsen. says that they were under canvas and the wind destroyed the tents, and eventually they had to be transferre to wooden huts. She says she was first sont to block No. 27 in camp No. 1, and then to block No. 205 when Kraper cane. She says that in block No. 27 she was an assistant blockalteste she says: "I was appointed by the blockaltester herself. I helped her. It was not an official appointment." She was not appointed, prosumbly, by the Gormans. She says she was only four days in Block No. 27, and that when she went to block No. 205 Gollasch, who was the German official, appointed her blockaltester. She says she was blockaltester for three weeks in this block, and then the whole of the inpates were transferred to block No. 224 in camp No. 2 because of a typhus sutbreak. She says she was blockaltester until the 15th Pedruary 1945. Then she says she was tee

nervous to carry on the work and so she asked the lagoraltester to remove her. She was then appointed a camp policewomen and she remained in the police until the 3rd larch 1945. She says on the 25th March Ehlert gave her a beating, and she was taken to prison. She says she was in prison - you will remember this has come out before -- with Francish and that she left prison with Francish. After her releast she becare an ordinary she bocame blockaltester
there were 850 internees in the block.
She says there were no parades in block 224 when she
percentage of sick was so high. She says she got the lageraltester
that there was no point in taking the sick cutside and that Collasch agree
to count the strength of the prisoners inside the block instead of having
the out in the open. She says she was arrested by the British on the
By June 1945.

What sir, you know she adde a statement about herself and about
and oping into that; you have it all before you and it is
there was justification for
there was justification for prisoner until the British came. She says she went to block No. 224 and that she was completely exhausted and ill. When she got to block No. 224 there were 850 interness in the block. She told you what her job was. She says there were no parades in block 224 when she was there because the percentage of sick was so high. She says she got the lagoraltester to agree that there was no point in taking the sick outside and that Gollasch agreed

f you examine why she gave information you will find that interests of every ody clse. A great deal of Ropper and I do not propose to put forward any in regard to the defence.

secution there is a great volume of accusations, in great detail I will endeavour to and without going conlonso them as such as I at that Kopper worked in the The first witness was Szafran. She said cande at Auschwitz, which was a bad unition instruments. She says that the manners and would out the interpretation ko mando because they had to accused worked in any different upon that that she night inform rities of their behaviour. We charges are, of course, tade age per in regard to Auschwitz, and ic way the prosecution say sho this evidence is called to show was behaving.

With recard to Rozenwayg, I do n that is worth while, and I pass on to Sunschoi fore is very mich she says that is worth while, and I pass on to Sunschein, considered to be an informer in the came at Delse fuschwitz and Belson. She was in the punished I used to go to see my friend in this punishment all she says. She says Kopper was "I know Kopper in both do, strafekonnanlo. That is really

Then there was Guterman. She says: "She was blockstoster in several blocks. She beat internees. She left this post and took on another Once I moved at an appel. Kopper heat de bolt job in the carp. and ale to incol down. She beat to seress the head. The The beating was a very severe beating very wet and it snowed. had to kneel all the time. I had to kneel for about one hour. overseer was passing, she said: "It is not nice that an old woman impol" and suggested that I should stand up. Kopper said: 'I am sor but I am responsible and she must kneel as I have told her to do.' evergoer did not interfere further and I continued to break. I remer a woman, Fischer, standing without shoes or stockings. Ropper said: don't you work?' and she replied: 'I cannot work without sloes,' Ke wir criored her to kneel. Fischer was about 40. The weather was very wet. Pischer hal to kneel throughout the whele appel, one hour, Pischer complained after that she had a high fever and three weeks later she lied." The witness also receivers a sick Tolish wo an in the block suffering from swellon logs. She said: "She asked to stay in bed and not parado; asked to stay and be on the floor. Kopper started to beat her and compelled her

to go on parade. She fainted on the parade and was taken to hespital, and after three days she died. I have seen Kopper beat other yearle who have come from other blocks to visit intermees."

Kopper's answer to this witness is: "Guterman came to Belsen in the same transport as I did. Guterman knew no perfectly well. She was my assistant. During my absence she gave interness water instead of carmalade and altered the quality of it", which is an allegation that she was watering the feel. I do not understand it myself. She goes on: "I gave her a beating to unforming her prestige. Gellasch was the we an who passed and onquired into the incident when the woman was kneeding. She told be to dismiss her farm her job. The next day she became an ordinary prisoner and went to another block. The woman had to kneed for 20 minutes and not an hour. I noter block her, because she was a functionary in the block.

As regards the woman Fischer showings: "I knew the woman Fischer very well. Fischer is still alive in Bearm in block G.D.13. All prisoners had shoes and I never made her kneel at all." With regard to the next part she says: "This is a lie. Block No.205 only had side possio. I never ferred anyone to go on parado as I knew that it meant to be fiveed on parado when I was a prisoner. No incident of this kind tack place either

in block No. 224."

Then there is the witness Synger. She says: "Kopper became block altoster at Belsen. Kopper behaved very badly to internees, she beat them very much. She beat them because of roll call, making it more difficult She beat us with a leather belt; if not with the belt anything that was available. She was very unkind, forcing people to attend parades, and excusing those from attendance whom she wanted to favour. I remember an old the she died.
ccasions wome.
enly five women from another block.

The with restand to atto the same along notice we get the same restands. woman from Leipzig, her leg was swellen. I tried to get the accused to let her off parade but the accused started to beat her and made her attend the On the parade she fainted. Kopper would not let me take her into We took out a chair and after parade we took her to hospital where she died. The weather, at this time, was very cold and raining and on many coasions women had to kneel." Kopper says: "This is not true. There were only five wemon from Leipzig in my block for one day and they were transferred

The witness goes on: "I remember a case where an old woman could and to attention and the accused ordered her to kneel. An overseer long, noticed her kneeling, and asked Kopper why she was kneeling", a et the same sort of allegation that Kopper said she must kneel. It is is Guterman, and I have already dealt with it". The witness goes on: "Food distribution in the block was supervised by Kopper. She distributed sup. Some special privileges were granted by Kopper to some people who made her presents. Kopper allowed some five or six sick people to stay in but they were not all ill. Kopper also used to denounce prisoners."

Then there was Koppel. She says: "Kopper was block altester in 205 and 224 blocks at Bulsen. I went to hospital to have my eyes dressed and supper was issued. When came back I went to Kopper and said I had no got my supper. Kopper got ut of bed and started beating me terribly on the and supper was issued. Then I came back I went to hospital to have my eyes dressed and supper was issued. Then I came back I went to Kopper and said I had not got my supper. Kopper got but of bed and started beating me terribly on the head. I fainted and found myself in my block. I am now suffering from heart disease. I was told when I was unconscious I was shouting 'My heart, my heart'". I do not know why that is in it at all. "Kopper behaved to others in the block very badly. Once on a parada when a woman asked to be excused for a minute Kopper started beasing her and the woman died."

Kopper gave her explanation of this incident. She says she remembers Koppel: "She came to my room and demanded soup. I said she could not have soup but could have a double ration the next day. She became aggressive so I had to regent to best in the last day. Koppel: "She came to my room the heat day. She became aggressive so a had to resort to beating her. I was told the next day she fainted, but it was for a different reason. She put on a light in an air raid and a guard shot into the block. I have never hit anyone with a stick, only with my belt."

Then there was Klein. She says: "Kopper was a green who was an informer denouncing people. She was also a block altester." Kopper says: "I did give information but it was proper information given in the interests Then there was Klein. She says: "Kopper ves of the came as a whole."

Then there was Lasker: "I saw Kopper at Ausehwitz. She was knownes a camp s y. I know nothing about her in Belsen". Then the cis the affidavit of Regina Bialck. She says: "I mot Kopper on a journey a muschwitz to Belsen. Kopper reported to the S.S. names of women is of valuables. Kopper was block altester of Block 27 women's camp at I was in that block. Kopper deprived people of their share of food. frequently beat women across the head and all parts of the body with a st She did not inflict serious injuries". Then she says that Ehlert struck Kopper and set other prisoners on her. Kopper says she had nothing to down food. "It is untrue. I have never beaten anyone with a stick. I only used a bolt because I have suffered so much as a prisoner. The belt was a narrow one made of dress material. I had nothing to do with keeping order in alorts. Special police id this".

Then there was Furstenberg. She says: "At Bolsen I have seen Kopper beat other women with a stick or strap. She made old women kneel at appels for a long time. There was a malish Jewess, about 30, sick in the block. She missed her food and asked Kopper for it. Kopper beat her over and over again over the head with a leather strap. She beat her on the ground. She suffered from concussion. Three or four days later she died. I saw her die and other prisoners took out the body. Kopper was beaten by other block altesters because she had informed the S.S. they were in possession of jewellery." Kopper said: "I beat people on parades in block 205 but not in Block 224. I did this very seldem and I shouted more than I beat. One one occasion only did I order a weman to kneel and it was my own stubenaltester, Guterman". That seems to be the incident I have referred to twice before. "It is untrue to say I beat a weman until she died. I have not caused any harm by my beatings." She again reiterates that this weman in the incident of kneeling was Guterman. She denies she was ever beaten by the block altesters.

have not caused any narm to woman in the incident of kneeling was decided beaten by the block altesters.

Then there is the affidavit of Hanka Rosenberg. She says: "In wisen I knew Kopper. I know her at Berkenau. In March, 1945, I saw the set a girl prisoner with a whip because she asked for more soup. They she can away Kopper chased her hitting her". Kopper says: "At this tight was inverison. I was on police duty and as such had nothing to do with food."

The set of the affidavit of Stojowska, a Polish Jewess. She was the set of the affidavit of Stojowska, a Polish Jewess. She

Then there is the affidavit of Stojowska, a Polish Jowess. She says: "At Nelson the accused was block altester, Block 205 and 222. In March, 1945, kitched 1, Kopper was beaten by other block leaders because of information given to the S.S. that the block leaders were in possession of jewellery". You have Nord of all this before and I am not going to take up your time in dealing with it.

There were same ther witnesses questioned about Kopper and I will deal with them quite shortly. Colkonrath said: "I do not remember her from Ravensbruck. I remember her at Auschwitz in the punishment kommande. I did not have anything to do with these Rommandos. She was a political prisoner and were a red triangle".

Ehlort says: "Kopper was posp, for the Gostapo. She beat her fellow prisoners. Functionaries searched Kopper's room and found four leaves of bread, bacon, choose, etc. Kopper lated admitted this was all hers. I was called from my office that Kopper was being beaten to death. I found Kopper unconscious on the floor. The others said we want to kill her as it is to much we have to suffer from her. Her and was broken and she was taken to hospital. Sent to prison for three weeks' and there was semething about a poster on which was written "I am a traiter". "I considered kopper to be a liar". In cross-examination Ehlert said: "I first act topper in Belson at the beginning of March, 1945, when I slapped her lacer Kopper was then on camp police and had a stick."

Zoddel says: "I remember a night when planes dropped pamphlets on Pelsen. It was about the middle of March, 1945. When pamphlets were found prisoners were strictly held in blocks and internees were not allowed to read them".

Freida Walter says "I know Kopper in Belsen. I saw her same five or six times in women's compound in front of kitchen 3. She was on carppolice to see prisoners should not erowd in on the kitchen. I never saw her beat anyone. I did not see her with a stick".

Then there was Starostka: "I know Kepper at Auschwitz first in the block and then in the strafekommando. At Belsen I found Kepper as block altester, I think, of Block 205. Kepper was not suited to be a block altester as she was on the point of a nervous breakdown owing to her long sejourn at Belsen. She was inclined to be hysterical. I felt pity for her and I reduced her to the level of a prisoner. I asked Gellasch to put her on camp police and this was done".

Well there, sir, you have a complex story, and it is a rather curious one, dealing with Kopper and it is for you to say whether or not on this evidence the prosecution have made out their case against her.

I have dealt generally with the ovidence which relates to the two issues I put before you, one, whether a war crime has been committed and, two, whether the accused, or any of them, have committed it, and now I want to conclude my remarks by just a few observations.

I think you will appreciate what the case for the presecution now is. On a broad basis it is suggested that in Germany in those war years there was this sytem of concentration camps of which ausehwitz and Belson were two; that in those camps it was the practice and the habit to treat people, especially the unfortunate Jows, as if they were on no account and and no rights whatsoever; that the staff of those concentration camps were deliberately taking part in a precedure which took no account of those vactored people's lives; that there was calculated mass murler such as at Auseratz; that there was calculated disregard of the ordinary duties which fell upon a staff to look after the well being and health of people at Belson, that the uphout all those camps the staff were made quite clearly to understand the brutalities, illtreatment, and matters of that kind would not be purished if they took place at the expense of the Jows, and the case for the presecution is that there was this common concerted design of the staff to do those corrible and terrible things.

The prosecution have brought before you in the dock a large number of persons ranging from Kramer, the commandant who was in charge of Ausehwitz and Belsen and Who seems to have been such a loyal and faithful servant to his masters that he has twice decerated by them, a second time in January, 1945, after his schourn at Ausehwitz) down to the kape or the functionary who was a tool, and whose reward for his offerts appears to have been to have the right to seed and an extra bowl of soup. Be that as it may, the prosecution's case is that they take the view, and they ask you to consider whether it has been established or not, that all these people in the dock in one way or another were taking part in this common concerted way to illtreat, and in some cases kin, those unfortunate Jews. If you are satisfied of that they ask you to say that such and every one must bear their responsibility for what happened. There responsibility must, of course, vary with their position, but the prosecution say they are still guilty.

Now, Sir, I know you will carefully consider those matters. You may think that there is no distinction which one smalle like to people who are concerned in their various duties with the gas chamber. You may think that if these aufscherin were responsible and taking part in concerted scheme at Delson to illtreat those Jows they must take the responsibility for that. On the other hand, if you think these aufscherin, a some of them, were at Delson doin; a good job of work in the kitchers and trying to help as much as they could, that is a factor you will have to consider when considering their uilt or otherwise for the appalling state of affairs that arose at Delson.

That is the short issue which you have to consider, and I protect to leave it in your hands to deal with in the light of the evidence.

There is one other matter upon which I would like to touch and that is that this trial has taken a long time. I think at the outset there were a number of people who thought and hoped that this was to be a sort of pageant in which these people in the dock would play their part and whatever was seen, whatever was heard, in this Court they would go to their ultimate fate. But, Gentlemen, that is not so. The powers which brought you here decided that these people should have a trial in accordance with the law and though they may not have appreciated that it would take so long they must have appreciated that it would take a great deal of time.

Now, gentlemen, you appreciate that as officers of a military court it was your duty to obey your instructions and I think you have carried out your instructions fairly and fully but it did result, and I mysolf am glad of it, in that these Germans and Poles had to be given a charge sheet in which was set out specifically what the prosecution complained, that it did give them time to propare their defence, that it did require the prosecution to bring here evidence to satisfy you of their guilt, and that it involved the calling of many, many, witnesses and the examination of many, many, affidavits. It is idle to talk about justice unless the accused know what is being said against them and so, rightly I think, every piece of evidence was translated to them and that involved every piece of evidence given being translated into both Polish and Gorman and semetimes other languages.

In my view the great safeguard of cross-examination was afforded to the accused and so, as far as they could, the defending officers worthily exprised their right to cross-examine which has increased the volume of evidence. Then, Gentlemen, in our procedure an accused person is allowed to give evidence on oath and he is treated like any other witnesses and so it came about that at this trial no less than 43 of the 45 accused availed themselves of that right to go into the box.

Now, Sir, what use is it to take all this evidence and to take all these affidavits unless somebody is going to sum it up on behalf of the accused and so quite rightly again, I am glad to say, in my view, the defending efficers who have conducted this case so properly in accordance with their duties as efficers and members of an honoured and learned profession, summed up their cases on behalf of each of their accused; and, gentlemen, it was not easy for them. They were appearing for Germans and Poles. It was a duty for which I am sure they would not have volunteered but as a duty they accepted it and hey have done their duty most loyally and faithfully to the mon and women they represent.

It became apparent in the only mays of this trial that the Court would have to range ever many matters, that they would have to deal with literally hundreds of incidents many of the quite unattached one to the other, alleged crimes of every gravity from mass murder down to brutal assault; all that had to be taken, all that had to be emsidered, and in the result, gentlemen, it became inevitable that this trial would take a long time and it has taken a long time.

I think the answer to it, gentlemen, will be found in the words of a great legal authority who said during the course of a trial words something like this, that time was relatively unimportant, that it was justice that really counted and, gentlemen, to that I would all that not only should justice be done but it should appear to be done.

Now, Sir, you are about, in the next few minutes, to go to the peace and quiet of your room to decide the fate of these men and women in the light of the evidence. When you go I would ask you to take lith the the words of Lord Sankey in the famous case of Walmington versus the Director of Public Presecutions, a case that is known throughout the length and breadth of every English court. "Throughout the web of the English Criminal Law one golden thread is always to be seen, that it is the duty of the presecution to prove the prisoner's guilt. If at the end of and on the whole of the case there is a reasonable doubt created by the evidence given by either the presecution or the prisoner the presecution has not made out the case and the prisoner is entitled to an acquittal no matter what the charge or where the trial." The principle is that the presecution must prove the guilt of the prisoner as a reasonable and no attempt has been made in this case by the prosecution to whittle it down can be corrained and no attempt has been made in this case by the prosecution to whittle it down.

Now, Sir, if you have a reasonable doubt in regard to any one of these accused it is your duty to record a finding of not guilty. On the other hand, if the prosecution have established their easette your satisfaction, and have excluded a reasonable doubt by producing that judicial certainty which excludes such a doubt, then, gentlemen, it would be your duty to convict and to mete out that stern justice which a conviction on charges of this kind not only requires but demands.

THE PRESIDENT: Is it clear to the accused what has happened? Has it been explained to them or not that the learned judge advocate has summed up and that now the Court considers the case in closed Court?

CRAFTELD: They understand.

The Court will now go into closed Court.

1057 hours the Court is closed.)

the Court is adjourned.)

(At 1358 hour re-assemble in closed Court.)

(At 1605 hours the Cour

(The accused are again brought before the

THE PRESIDENT: The accused will just may attention now. I wish to make it perfectly clear to you that findings of guilty are subject to confirmation by superior military authority. Findings of not guilty are final and clear you of the particular charge on which they are given.

All of you, with the exception of number \$\lambda^2\$, Stanislawa Starotska, were arraigned before this court charged with committing a ver crime, in that you, at Bergen-Belsen, Commany, between 1st October 1942 and 30 April 1945 when members of the staff of Dorgen-Belsen Concentration Camp responsible for the well being of the persons interned there, in violation of the law and usages of war were together concerned as parties to the ill treatment of certain of such persons causing the deaths of Keith Neyer (a British national), Anna Kis, Sara Kohn (both Hungarian nationals) Hejmoch klinovjechy and Marla Konatkevicz (both Polish nationals) and Marcel Fresen to Montigny (a French national) Maurice Van Eijnsbergen (a Dutch national) Markowski and Georgej Ferenz (both Polish nationals) Maurice Van Merlensar (Dengian national) Salvatore Verdura (an Italian national) and Therese Klee Critish Actional of Honduras) allied nationals and other allied nationals whose names the unknown and physical suffering to Osmand le Druillerec (A British Actional) Benec Zouchemann, a ferale internec named Korpereva, a female internec strides a finitenec strides whose names are unaver.

I shall refor to that as the first charge.

addition, runber 1, Kramer, 2 Klein, 3 Weingartner, 4 Kraft, 5 Hoessler, 11 Lobauer, and nu. 7 Volkenrath, 2 Mhlort, 9 Grese, 10 Lothe, eirer, are charged with committing a war crime in that you at Auschwitz between 1 October 1942 and 30 April 1945 vitz Concentration Camp responsible for the when members of the staff well being of persons interned t the ill treatment of certain such war were together concerned as erstein (a Polish National) allied persons causing the death of Rach ose names are unknown and physical constitutionals, and particularly on a nationals) and other allied coust No. 48, Stanislawa Starotska, nationals and other allied nation suffering to other persons interne to Ewa Gryka and Hanka Rosenwayg (both No.48, Stanislawa Starotska, nationals whose names are unknown. was arraigned on that Auschwitz charge only

I shall refer to that Associate charge as the second

You will stand as I call out you makes. You will sit

charge.

Me. 1, Kramer; the Court find you guilty on the first

No.2, Kleih; the Court find you wilty of the second charge.

No. 3, Teingartner; the Court fint you guilty of the second charge.

No.4, Kraft, the Court find you not guilty of the first charge and not guilty of the second charge.

No.5, Hessler; the Court find you not guilty of the first charge and guilty of the second charge.

. No.6, Borman; the Court find you not guilty of the first charge and guilty of the second charge.

No. 7, Volkeneath; the Court find you guilty of the first charge and guilty of the second charge.

No. 3, Ehlert; the Court find you guilty of the first charge and not guilty of the second charge.

No. 9, Grese; the Court find you guilty of the first charge and guilty of the second charge.

ipat No. 10, Lothe; the Court find you not guilty of the first charge and not guilty of the second charge.

No. 11, Lobauer; the Court find you guilty of the first charge and guilty of the second charge.

No. 12, Klippel; the Court find you not guilty of the charge.

No. 14, Schmitz; the Court find you not guilty of the

No. 16, Francion; the Court find you muilty of the first

No. 13, Mathos; the Court find you not guilty of the

first charge

9, Kul saon; the Court find you guilty of the first charge.

raf; , the Court find you guilty of the first charge.

the Court find you not guilty of the first charge.

No. 22, Pichen; find you quilty of the first charge.

No. 23, Otto; the Co you not guilty of the

guilty of the No. 25, Stofel; the Court i

first charge.

first charge.

No. 26, Schreirer; the Court find of the first charge, guilty of the second charge.

No. 27, Dorr; the Court find you guilt first charge.

No. 23, Barsch; the Court find you not gui first charge.

No. 29, Zoddel; the Court find you quilty of the first charge.

No. 30, Schl moviez; the Court find you not wilty of the first charge.

No. 31, Ostrowski; the Court find you guilty of the first charge.

No. 22, Aurdzieg; the Court find you guilty of the first charge.

16.

the first charge.

No. 33, Ilse Forster; the Court find you guilty of

of the first charge.

No. 34, Ida Forster; the Court find you not guilty

charge. first charge. No. 35, Opitz; the Court find you not guilty of the

of the first charge.

No. 36, Charlotto Klain; the Court find you not guilty

No. 37, Bothe; the Court find you guilty of the first

No. 30, Walter; the Court find you guilty of the

No. 39, Haschke; the Court find you guilty of the

first char

No.40, Fiest; the Court find you guilty of the

first charge.

41, Sauer; the Court find you guilty of the

first charge.

isiewitz; the Court find you guilty of the

first charge.

Court find you guilty of the

Court find you guilty of the

first charge.

ind you not guilty of No. 45, Hahnel;

the first charge.

ou guilty of the No.46, Kopper; the

first charge.

t guilty of No. 47, Polanski; the Court

the first charge.

No. 43, Starostkn; the Court find y

second charge.

In the case of findings of guilty on the charge the words: "Anna Kis, Sara Kohn (both Hungarian nationals)" Will be cuitted as well as the words: "A female internee named Korperova".

La the case of findings of guilty on the second charge, the words: "An particularly to Ewa Gryka and Hanks Resenways (both Polish nationals)" will be omitted.

The following will stand up and remain standing as I name them: Number 4, Kraft; number 10, Lothe; number 12, Alippel; number 14, Solnitz; number 18 Mathes; number 21 Egersdorf; number 2,3 Otto; number 28 Barsch; number 30Schlomoiviez; number 34 Ida Forster; nu ber 35 Opitz; number 36 Charlotte Klein; number 45, Hahnel; and nu ber 47 Polanski.

T E PRESIDENT: You fourteen accused have been found not guilty of the charges preferred against you. You are, therefore, no longer the concern of this court. You will now be removed by the Provest Marshal and held in safe custody pending such orders as the Convening Officer may see fit to issue.

. (The above enumerated 14 people leave

THE PRESIDENT: I will now call on the presecutor that he has relating to your age and service.

OUL. DACKHOUSE: I have no evidence at all apart from what has already been produced before the court. For the assistance of the court, and probably fore for the convening officer as the court have their own notes, I have appared the particulars of each of the accused. These particulars are taken from their own statements. It is, of course, impossible to check the particular of the n the form is shown the nationality of the accused, age, service in th the SS, if an intermee the total time spent as an intermee, nternee in any position of authority under the SS, ent, and if meither SS nor internee what is exact status. reason for On each of the defending of cors have filled in the particulars in respect of accused.

> court will appreciate that in certain cases the prosecution the prosecution and the nce were not as one. In particular I may take he says he was not in the SS and the , in order to summount this difficulty is headed "Allegation of Prosecut: 's yersion as opened to that of the prosecution say he was. I have had a second columnation which is is headed "Allegation of Prosecution" in which is entered the prosc defence. In each of those cases in entry appears in the second column I have shown them to the defending officers, and in each that I have entered is a fair case the defending officer has agr state ent or suggestion.

I do not put these as evidence as to character but merely as a convenient summar ne ovidence which has been given to the court. I have had suffic propared for each member of the court.

THE JUDGE ADVOCATE: Are these meant to become part ficial records of the court or not ?

COL. DACKHOUSE: That is entirely a matter for the court. that they should, but, as I say, there is nothing in them wi already in the shorthand transcript. It is merely a conveni putting it quite shortly before the members of the court and Convening Of icer. It does not pretend to go further than that.

TE JUDGE ADVOCATE: Are you accepting that they are all men of good character apart from the charges ?

CCL. DACKHOUSE: I merely say that I have no further evidence with regard to their character.

THE JUDGE ADVOCATE: May the court see what you propose to put in before they decide upon the matter? (Documents hunded to the Judge Advocate)

COL. BACKHOUSE: You will notice that, in fact, only in the cases of Kulasson, Burgraf, Pichen, Shreirer, Ostrowski and Starotska, havve I added anything at all to the prosecution's column; and only, I thank, in the cases of Shreirer and Ostrowski is there anything which is at all · controversial.

18.

THE JUDGE ADVOCATE: Have any of the defending officers any objection to this course being adopted ?

MAJOR CRANFIELD: No, we have agreed to it.

(Forms are marked exhibit 152 signed by the President and attached to the proceedings)

THE PRESIDENT: Do you wish to address the court, Major Winwood ?

MAJOR WINWOOD: Peter Weingartner is a Yugoslav national with a wife and three children whose whereabouts are unknown. He was in the Yugoslav army and took his part in the fight against Axis aggression. His country 'cll to the Axis and he had to serve Germany. He was forced to do that

three children whose where any and took his part in the fight of the Axis and he had to serve German Arvice in the SS at Auschwitz and Belsen.

The court have seen hypotheard him. I feel sure that they must centality and temperament are, to say feeling that he was not a feeling The court have seen him in the witness box and they I feel sure that they must have formed the opion that and temperament are, to say the least, not quite nomal. feeling that he was not a suitable choice to put in charge er of women, and I do ask the court to believe that his aper sometimes overrode his reason, and that deliberate illpart of his make-up.

> There is no evidence that he took part either n the gas selections. The most that can be said is beence of protest he tacitly assented to what was be was one of those unfortunate people caught up actively or passively that by his silence happening in this camp. machine of which he became an unwilling but against his will very easily noulde

is 57 years of age divorced from his the national Socialist regime. He national Socialist regime. He wife. He is a Rumanian by nation out feeling himself to be German put his services at the disposal of the part in selections for the gas chamber. He dector, that he had to take part in selections for the gas chamber. He had to take part in selections for the gas chamber. He dector, that he had to take part in selections for the gas chamber. He and direct orders what to do. tal to remove legal Plea of superior orders does not avail responsibility for a war crime, but I d court to consider it as affecting the sentence for such crime.

Dr. Klein had little option in disobeying the orders he received. The commander of the U-boat who was told to sink survivors of torpedood ships can disobey that order with a good chance of his superior pricer not discovering his disobedience. But in Dr. Klein's case his superior was man point of view, discovering his disobedience. But in Dr. Klein's case his superior on the spot seeing that he carried out the order, and the degree of practical feasibility in disobeying the order was negligible. respectfully ask the court which has held him legally resto scale down the legal responsibility by the practical and human pro-Dr. Klein had to face. In his swaming-up the learned Judge Ad that he understood that it was a doctor's duty to preserve life. Dr. Klein there is no allegation of ill-treatment, beating, shooting, and inhuman experiments; nor is there any evidence that he considered internet should be treated like entile. He is a professional doctor and an el man. It has been said that he sent thousands to their cleath in the gas chamber, but every man or woman who Dr. Klein chose as : fit for work was saved from the gas chamber, and he or she was granted as lease of life. Surely, sir, a man of this type could do more for the internees by taking part in selections than by leaving the selecting to more brutal and more adv need SS men. I would ask the court when considering his sentence to lock on Dr. Klein as a man who, to a certain degree, actitually saved people fre death.

Josef Kramer is a German with a wife and three young children. He joined the SS thirteen years ago, and I do ask the court not to hold this against him. The SS was not an illegal organisation. It was merely part of the armed forces of a now beaten country. I would rather ask the court to consider this service as service in the cause of the country in which he was born and in which he was brought up, and in the cause of the system to which he gave his allegiance.

Kramer has put himself before you as a true Geman who carried out an order because it was an order. He may have wondered himself whether it was right or not, but his own personal feelings or qualus faded into insignificance besides the fundamental Geman principle that an order it given to obey. This is a court of British officers considering the extence to be awarded to a German, who held the rank of captain, for a crime what, I suggest, bear a greater degree of responsibility if convicted of sten a cripe for he has been brought up to consider the principles of tolerance kinances, and the rule of fair justice. The mind of the Geman, especially has of a National Socialist and member of the SS, is drilled into one paracular channel and the broad view of humanity is lost sight of. I do ask the count, now that legal guilt has fallen on Kramer, to consider this human and assume by German point of view when approaching the question of his sentence.

At Relsen he was the responsible man on the spot. He well knew the conditions and he must have known that he would be brought to account for his action. He must have known that his superiors had wabhed their hands of him. Yet he attaged there until the last moment to face the music when he, like many of the other SS men, could have forsaken Belsen and fled. That, I venture to suggest, should not be forgetten.

All these accound have spent seven months in arrest, and Kremer has spent all that time in selectory confinement. If they had disobeyed the orders which they were riven at Auschwitz and Belsen they would have been punished, and now they are to a punished for obedience to these orders.

Manual of Military Law at page 61: "The instigator of an offence should receive a more severe sentence than the person the was instigated to commit it". These men here in Luneburg were instigated to commit this war crime and they have been found guilty. The instigators of the crime are about to stand their trial in Nuremberg. In their indictment these major comminals are charged with setting up concentration camps, with the interment of intermess, and with mass killing of Jews and others by gas chambers and other barbarous methods. Are you, sir, and the members of your court to mete out to these minor characters here in the dock a punishment which cannot be exceeded at Nuremberg? Are you going to so that Kramer hears the so responsibility as Goering?

I do with great respect but with all earnestness ask you to consider these accused whom I represent as much too far down the scale to mould a policy or to change the system, and much too far down the scale to suffer the supreme penalty.

MAJOR MUNRO: I wish to associate myself in the first place with what my friend Major Winwood has said about the State system and also as to the effect on sentence of the plea of superior orders. Apart from that there is very little my accused wish me to say, except to undiriting certain aspects of the evidence which is already before you.

The accused Hoesslor is a German. He was born in 1906 and is therefore in his fortieth year. He is married and has two children. Defore he joined the SS in January 1933 he was a photographer, but had been unable to obtain work for two years. It is hardly surprising, therefore, that he should have found it necessary to join the SS, if the court remembers the grim time through which Gennany was going at that time.

general affidavit, there were no allegations of personal brutality. There

character to that

general affidavit, there were in
is also a certain amount of evidence in has
to take into account when considering sentence.

The accused Bonnan is also a German and she is in
newforty-ninth year. She is unmarried and before she pointed the SS she
in what is known as the German Mission which, I understand, is a
distable institution of a rather Spartan nature, within which people
and or bare existence. Bonnan herself, you will remember, tole
to that time had been one of rather
to that time had been one of rather
to that time had been one of rather or bare existence. Boman herself, you will remember, told to better her pay. Her job in the concentration camps has been of a hull cature, and she sits before you in the dock a little and woman with life has been hard and bitter, and who has hardly ever known a friendly word.

> occused Volkenrath is also a German who has just entered her to wh year. Her childhood was spent in the comany. She became employed in a hair dressing on fee national service in 1939. Later in 1941 e ves conscripted into the SS which, in itself, espectfully ask the court to bear in mind. There chaos of the last p saloon and was then calla as a girl of twenty-two she was co is a point which I would respect? the court to bear in mind. There was no question of her volunted

tate that the job she had She also asi an important job as it latterly at Belsen of Oberaufscherin suci camp, and the job mainly sounds. She had no administrative cont dar jobs. consisted in detailing other aufscherin

Finally, the accused Ell ho is in her forty-39. She was sent first year. She was also conscripted into the to Ravensbruck and the court has heard evidence as to there. Whatever may have happened later, it is clear ow she behaved she was a good and decent woman who looked after the interests of the prisoners, and who, it appears, was punished for it. prisoners, and who, it appears, was punished for it. If, therefore, after some years in a concentration camp that punishment has had its effect, it is hardly surprising, and the court ought, I thank into account what the conditions in concentration camps can rather weak human nature.

MAJOR CRANFIELD: I have nothing fresh with regard to my two accus remain, and I have only to recall to your mind what you have alread

With regard to Grese, you will wand her that she told you her mother died when she was 14 years of age, and she herself left her home at the age of 16, and at the age of 18 she was conscripted into the concentration camp service. You have heard from Standale of the difficulties which she encountered in controlling the women under her when she first went into a concentration camp. There can, I think, be no doubt that among those women there were many of a low and brutal type, and Great was a girl or only eighteen years when she had to face up to it. A year later she came to the appalling atmosphere of Auschwitz. I would remand you of a phrase which I think is most significant. It was used by

the Polish witness Komsta in connection with Hoessler. She said: He was the master of our life and death and we were terrified of him". I invite the court to consider the terrible atmosphere there must have been at Auschwitz where a word or a movement either way meant death. It was to that atmosphere that Grese was sent at the age of mineteen.

the aufscherin were phad read out the sentence which came turned to Grese, a girl of twenty, and ordered not strekes to the victim. I ask you to consider that incident. The girl receiving an order of that kind from a man who was a colonel in the S. She was less than a private soldier, aged twenty, and I would ask you to consider what sort of effect that must have had upon her.

A large part of the charge at Auschwitz against to camp "C", and again I would recall to your mind had 30,000 Hungarian women, and to help

Lastly, in regard to Grese, I would remind you porly educated girl. Her father was an agricultural that she is subject of the Nazi propaganda machine. I ask labourer and what she has done has been done of her own free you to consider ke

gard to my other accused, Lobauer. The s a prisoner of the Germans. At the time of court will remembe the liberation at Belsen undergone five years of the most rigorous ntration camp, a kind of imprisonment our on country. She received that for paid, of course, have been used against which would never be tolerated i refusing to make munitions which it imprisonment she received a us. Further, while she was under a punishment for what we savage beating of which she has to would consider a trivial offence.

would remind you that Finally, in regar the weight of the evidence is that these fund es had no choice. They were ordered to take up their appointment. they had no opportunity of refusing.

it comes to With regard to both my accu y have both assessing their punishment I would remind the court ney have had to been in British custody for a period of seven months, undergo the very great strain of this lengthy trial. -6

(At 17.12 hours the court adjourns until 09.30 hours to-morrow morning, Saturday 17th November, 1945).